PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TDANSMITTAL	Application Number	09/695,059	
MANAGINITIAL	Filing Date	October 25, 2000	
FORM	First Named Inventor	Christopher Thomas	
	Art Unit	3624	·
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	James M. Alpert	
otal Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	12026-2	

Total Number of Pages in This Submiss	ion	Attorney Docket Nu	ımber	12026-2	
	ENCLO	I DSURES (check all that	t apply)	<u> </u>	
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC	
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request	☐ Request for Refund ☐ CD, Number of CD(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement	☐ Landscape Table on CD				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks				
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application					
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53					
SIG	NATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTOR	RNEY, O	R AGENT	
Firm	Bereskin & Par	-r			
Signature					
Printed Name	Jay M. Millman				
Date	February 9, 20	05	Reg. No.	52,520	
	CERTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISS	ION/MAI	ILING	
I hereby certify that this corresponder Service with sufficient postage as fi Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the dat	rst class mail	in an envelope addres	e USPTO sed to: C	or deposited with the United States Posta Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	
Signature					
Typed or printed name				Date	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Bereskin & Parr

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

February 9, 2005



Jay M. Millman B.A.Sc. (Mech. Eng.) 416 957 1679 jmillman@bereskinparr.com

Your Reference: 09/695,059 Our Reference: 12026-2

The Commissioner of Patents

& Trademarks Washington, D.C. U.S.A. 20231

Dear Sir:

Re: United States Patent Application No. 09/695,059

For: METHOD FOR DETECTING FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS EXHIBITING

ABERRANT BEHAVIOUR Filed: October 25, 2000

Inventor: THOMAS, Christopher

This is in response to the Office communication dated January 24, 2005.

The Examiner has requested that the applicant provide a description of the substance of the telephone interview that took place on January 19, 2005. The applicant provides the description below.

During the interview, the Examiner's rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101 were discussed. In particular, the applicant suggested some claim amendments to recast claim 1 as a computer implemented method. These suggested claim amendments appear in claim 1 as amended in the response filed on January 27, 2005. The Examiner agreed that the suggested claim amendments were of the type that he wanted, but stopped short of agreeing that they were satisfactory without conducting a further review of them.

The applicant and the Examiners also discussed the rejection of the claims as not being directed to a useful, concrete and tangible result. Some suggested claim amendments for claim 1 were discussed, which also appear in the response filed on January 27, 2005.

No firm agreement was reached on claim amendments that would satisfy the Examiner.

During the discussion, Mr. Bashore commented that if a new office action were to be issued on this application, it would not be a final action, due to the presentation of the Examiner's arguments in the Office Action dated October 5, 2004.

Yours truly,

Jay M. Millman

/tw

Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West, 40th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 3Y2

Tel: 416.364.7311 Fax: 416.361.1398 www.bereskinparr.com

TORONTO MISSISSAUGA WATERLOO